PANTHER

P2Y12 inhibitor versus aspirin monotherapy in patients with coronary artery disease – Discussion

Steffen Massberg,

Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik I, Klinikum der Universität München,

Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich, Germany

August 29, 2022



Declaration of interest

- I have nothing to declare

PANTHER

- ASA monotherapy is first line for secondary prevention in CCS patients without an indication for OAC and/or DAPT (I, A)
- Monotherapy with P2Y12 inhibitors may be considered in patients with PAD or cerebrovascular disease (IIb, B)

Knuuti et al., 2019 ESC Guidelines, Eur Heart J. 2020

Historic evidence from the CAPRIE trial



CAPRIE Steering Committee, Lancet 1996

PANTHER – key features of trials included

Trial acronym	Date of publication	Number of patients*	Rate of revascularization (%)*	Type of revascularization	Type of P2Y12 inhibitor
CAPRIE	1996	19,185	-	-	Clopidogrel
CADET	2004	184	-	-	Clopidogrel
ASCET	2012	1,001	91.5	PCI / CABG	Clopidogrel
DACAB	2018	500	100	CABG	Ticagrelor
GLASSY	2019	7,585	100	PCI	Ticagrelor
ТІСАВ	2019	1,859	100	CABG	Ticagrelor
HOST-EXAM	2021	5,438	100	PCI	Clopidogrel

*Numbers refer to entire trial (overall population of PANTHER)

Key findings of PANTHER

CV death, MI or stroke



Valgimigli M et al., ESC 2022

ESC CONGRESS 2022 Barcelona & Online

-

PANTHER – Strengths

- PANTHER is a well-performed contemporary meta-analysis conducted by an experienced team of researchers.
- The findings have important clinical implications.
- In contrast to previous analyses, PANTHER is an individual participant data meta-analysis.
- PANTHER only enrolled patients with documented CAD.
- Ticlopidine did not contribute to the study results.

PANTHER – Open questions

- CAPRIE had a high relative weight in PANTHER
- Approx. 10,000 patients were enrolled prior to 2018
 - → Applicability to patients receiving contemporary therapy
 - \rightarrow Yet, contribution of modern management greater than in previous analyses

• Substantial subgroup (≈7,000 patients) without revascularization

→Anti-ischemic advantage of P2Y12 inhibition derived from revascularization



PANTHER – Open questions

The mean patient age was 64 years

→ Applicability to older patients is unclear (subgroup analysis)

• Previous bleeding history was low (0.4%) in PANTHER

→Potential bias for low bleeding risk patients (e.g. HOST-EXAM)

• Adherence rates tend to favour ASA compared to ticagrelor

Prasugrel was not used in PANTHER

→Results may not be generalized to all P2Y12 inhibitors

• Low effect size and no effect on mortality

 \rightarrow NNT of 123 needs to be weighed against cost effectiveness

Personal conclusion – is it time to switch standards?

- PANTHER is an important study evaluating the dogma of ASA as first choice in antiplatelet management of CCS patients
- ASA is a valid standard, as it is associated with less non-compliance and fewer off-target side-effects (compared with ticagrelor), less variation in treatment response (compared with clopidogrel), and most likely is more cost-effective given the low effect size of P2Y12 inhibitors.
- Yet, based on PANTHER and also HOST-EXAM, it is reasonable to use P2Y12 inhibitors instead of ASA monotherapy in younger CCS patients with a history of revascularization that have a low bleeding risk or a high risk of gastrointestinal bleeding.